

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 20140-00296-US2; 6935 10/618,751 07/15/2003 Maria Ronay YOR92002 **EXAMINER** 12/21/2004 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP RACHUBA, MAURINA T **SUITE 800** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1990 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425 · 3723

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/618,751	RONAY, MARIA
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		M Rachuba	3723
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Faill Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roop openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	August 2004.	
• —	<u>_</u>	nis action is non-final.	
3)	,		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 20-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 20-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Applicat	; iion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
, —	The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are:		cted to by the Examiner.
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/618,751 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed 6 August 2004 has overcome the previous rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2,4, 6, 8, and 20-22 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Molnar US006283829B1. Please refer especially to column 22, lines 65 through column 23, lines 50, for a discussion of the polishing slurry and its components; and to column 28, lines 5 through column 30, lines 4, for a discussion of the preferred types of lubricant, including poly (tetrafluoroethylene) (Teflon ^(R)) in particulate form. Poly (tetrafluoroethylene) has a coefficient of friction of about 0.03 to about 0.3 (see enclosed chart).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molnar '829. '829 does not disclose the size or percentage by weight of the abrasive particles. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/618,751 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

the invention was made to have provided '829 with the required sizes and amounts of the abrasive particles, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior ad, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the ad. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Here, the relative sizes and amounts of the particles in the slurry would depend on the type, thickness and desired material removal of the material being planarized.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molnar '829 in view of Chang et al 2003/0211743 (filed May 7, 2002). '829 does not disclose that a surfactant may be added to the slurry. '743, in a slurry for CMP applications, teaches that it is old and well known to use surfactants in CMP slurries, to maintain slurry particle dispersion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to have provided '829 with a surfactant as taught by .743, [007] lines 12-14, to maintain particle dispersion.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-9 and 20-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,751 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4493**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. RachubaPrimary Patent Examiner

